

915 L STREET # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-3706 # WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

March 1, 2013

Ms. Kelly McAdoo Morariu, Assistant City Manager City of Hayward 777 B Street Hayward, CA 94541

Dear Ms. Morariu:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

The City of Hayward Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 5, 2013. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Since the Agency did not meet the October 15, 2012 submittal deadline pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (c), Finance is not bound to completing its review and making a determination by the November 9, 2012 deadline pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d). However, Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustments were made:

• Disallowed cash transfers in the amount of \$6,740,026. Our review of the DDR report indicated that the former redevelopment agency (RDA) transferred housing asset funds to the City of Hayward's Housing Authority (Authority) pursuant to a cooperation agreement dated March 7, 2011. The transfer of funds to the Authority was not supported by an enforceable obligation as referenced by HSC section 34171 (d) (2), which states that agreements between the former RDA and the City that created the RDA are not enforceable obligations. Furthermore, HSC section 34176 (a) (1) states that if a city elects to retain the authority to perform housing functions previously performed by the RDA, all rights, powers, duties, and obligations excluding any amounts on deposit in the LMIHF and enforceable obligations retained by the successor agency, shall be transferred to the city. Therefore, the LMIHF cash should have been retained by the Agency. Since unencumbered cash in the amount of \$1,071,056 was returned to the Agency on February 1, 2012, the balance is adjusted for the difference of \$5,428,079 (\$6,740,026 - \$1,071,056).

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$6,740,026 (see table below).

| LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entiti | ies | |
|--|-----|-----------|
| Available Balance per DDR: | \$ | 1,311,947 |
| Finance Adjustments | | |
| Add: | | |
| Disallowed transfers: | \$ | 5,428,079 |
| | | |
| Total LMIHF available to be distributed: | \$ | 6,740,026 |

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

Pursuant to HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B), any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the Agency's failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to its sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated February 25, 2013 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Ms. Morariu March 1, 2013 Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

STEVE SZALAY

Local Government Consultant

CC:

Ms. Tracy Vesely, Finance Director, City of Hayward

Ms. Carol Orth, Tax Analysis Division Chief, County Auditor-Controller, County of

Alameda

California State Controller's Office



May 24, 2013

Members of the Oversight Board for the Successor Agency of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Hayward

Ana Matosantos, Director Steve Szalay, Local Government Consultant Department of Finance 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814-3706 redevelopment_administration@dof.ca.gov

John Chiang, Controller
T. Austin
California State Controller's Office
P. O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250
taustin@sco.ca.gov

Patrick O'Connell, Auditor-Controller County of Alameda 1221 Oak Street, Room 249 Oakland 94612 pat.oconnell@acgov.org

Susan S. Muranishi, County Administrator County of Alameda 1221 Oak Street, Room 555 Oakland, CA 94612

SUBJECT: Hayward Successor Agency Oversight Board - Notification of Action and

Transmittal of Determination Regarding Other Funds and Assets Due Diligence Review In Compliance With Health and Safety Code Sections

34179(h) and 34179.6(c)

To whom it may concern:

In compliance with Health and Safety Code Sections 34179(h) and 34179.6(c), the following notifications and transmittals are provided to you on behalf of the Oversight Board (the "Oversight Board") for the Successor Agency (the "Successor Agency") of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Hayward (the "Former Agency") in connection with the Oversight Board's approval of and determinations and authorizations related to the Due Diligence Review (the

"Others Funds Due Diligence Review") of unobligated moneys from the Former Agency's non-housing funds and assets that are available to the Successor Agency for distribution to the taxing entities in accordance with the procedures and standards of Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 and 34179.6. Patrick O'Connell, the Alameda County Auditor-Controller approved preparation of the Other Funds Due Diligence Review by Cory Biggs of Maze & Associates.

- 1. <u>Notice of Oversight Board Action</u>. In compliance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179(h), please be advised that, at a duly scheduled public meeting held on May 20, 2013, the Oversight Board adopted the enclosed resolution (the "Resolution") to approve the enclosed Other Funds Due Diligence Review, and to make the determinations and authorizations required in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(c).
- 2. <u>Transmittal of Other Funds Due Diligence Review Documents</u>. In compliance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(c), the Oversight Board hereby transmits to you a copy of the enclosed Resolution and the enclosed Other Funds Due Diligence Review (together, the "Other Funds Due Diligence Review Documents"), which comprise the Oversight Board's determination of the amount of other funds and asset cash and cash equivalents that are available to the Successor Agency for disbursement to the taxing entities as determined in accordance with the method provided in Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5, all as more fully set forth in the Other Funds Due Diligence Review Documents.

For further information about the notifications and transmittals described and contained in this letter and enclosures or about the Successor Agency and the Oversight Board in general, inquiries may be directed to the undersigned, as Designated Contact Official of the Oversight Board, at:

Phone Number:

(510) 583-4305

E-mail Address:

kelly.mcadoo@hayward-ca.gov

Sincerely,

Kelly McAdoo

Designated Contact Official

for the Hayward Successor Agency

Oversight Board

Enclosures:

- 1) Oversight Board Resolution
- 2) Other Funds Due Diligence Review
- 3) Approved Second ROPS
- 4) Approved Third ROPS

RESOLUTION. 2013-04

RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF HAYWARD TAKING SPECIFIED ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE ALL OTHER FUNDS AND ASSETS DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 34179.5 AND 34179.6

WHEREAS, the California state legislature enacted Assembly Bill x1 26 (the "Dissolution Act") to dissolve redevelopment agencies formed under the Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34173, the City Council of the City of Hayward (the "City Council") declared that the City of Hayward, a charter city (the "City"), would act as successor agency (the "Successor Agency") for the dissolved Redevelopment Agency of the City of Hayward (the "Dissolved RDA") effective February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to AB 1484 ("AB 1484"), enacted June 27, 2012 to amend various provisions of the Dissolution Act, the Successor Agency is now declared to be a separate legal entity from the City; and

WHEREAS, the Dissolution Act provides for the appointment of an oversight board (the "Oversight Board") with specific duties to approve certain Successor Agency actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34180 and to direct the Successor Agency in certain other actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34181; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 (as added by AB 1484) the Successor Agency is required to employ a licensed accountant, approved by the County Auditor-Controller for the County of Alameda (the "Auditor-Controller"), to conduct a due diligence review (the "Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review") to determine the unobligated balances from the Dissolved RDA's funds and accounts (exclusive of the low and moderate income housing fund) held by the Successor Agency available for transfer to taxing entities as required under AB 1484 and the Dissolution Act; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency hired an accountant, approved by the Auditor-Controller, to prepare the due diligence review for the Non-Housing Funds (the "Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review") in conformance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5; and

WHEREAS, in compliance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6, the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review has been submitted by the Successor Agency to the Oversight Board for the Oversight Board's approval and, in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6, the Successor Agency also submitted the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review to the Alameda County Administrative Officer (the "County Administrator"),

the Auditor-Controller, the State Controller (the "Controller") and the State Department of Finance (the "DOF"), along with copies of the Successor Agency's recognized obligation payment schedules for the Fiscal Year 2012-13, as approved by the Oversight Board (the "FY 2012-13 ROPSs"); and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board must review, approve and transmit the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review by January 15, 2012 and Successor Agency staff submitted information to the State Department of Finance informing them of the delays in preparing the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review; and

WHEREAS, the accompanying Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review and the staff report provides supporting information upon which the actions set forth in this Resolution are based.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Oversight Board hereby finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct, and together with the following documents and information form the basis for the approvals, authorizations, findings, and determinations set forth in this Resolution: (1) the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review; (2) the FY 2012-13 ROPSs; (3) information provided by the Successor Agency staff; and (4) information provided by the public at the public comment session held by the Oversight Board on April 29, 2013 as required under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6 (b).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in accordance with the Dissolution Act and AB 1484, the Oversight Board hereby approves the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review in the form on file with the Oversight Board's designated communication official.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Oversight Board hereby authorizes the Successor Agency to retain assets or funds, legally restricted as to their purpose, including but not limited to bond proceeds, grant funds or other governmentally restricted funds, under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5(c)(5)(B) in the amount of Four Million Nine Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Seven Dollars (\$4,009,367) from the funding source and for the purposes identified in Schedule 6C of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review, incorporated herein by this reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Oversight Board hereby authorizes the Successor Agency to retain assets or funds, that are not cash or cash equivalent, under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5(c)(5)(C) in the amount of Sixty-One Million Eight Hundred Fifty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars (\$61,858,156) from the funding source and for the purposes identified in the schedule under Procedure 7.A of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review, incorporated herein by this reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Oversight Board hereby authorizes the Successor Agency to retain assets or funds, including but not limited to any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation, under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5(c)(5)(D) in the amount of Seven Million Three Hundred

Thirty-Eight Thousand Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$7,338,068) from the funding source and for the purposes identified in Attachment A of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of cash and cash equivalents that are available for disbursement to taxing entities as determined according to the method provided in Section 34179.5 and as set forth in the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review is **Zero Dollars** (\$0) (the "Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review Payment"), which amount, subject to the DOF's approval of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review, shall be transmitted to the Auditor-Controller for allocation to affected taxing entities pursuant to the terms of AB 1484 and the Dissolution Act.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Oversight Board hereby authorizes and directs the Successor Agency staff to take all administrative actions necessary under the Dissolution Act and AB 1484 to file, post, mail or otherwise deliver via electronic mail, internet posting, and/or hardcopy, all notices and transmittals necessary or convenient in connection with the approval of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review and to take any other actions necessary to ensure the validity of the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review. The Oversight Board acknowledges and agrees that the Successor Agency may invoke the meet and confer process identified in Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6 (e) to resolve any disputes regarding the amounts or sources of funds identified by the DOF as eligible to be retained. In addition, the Oversight Board authorizes and directs the Successor Agency staff to make the Non-Housing Fund Due Diligence Review Payment as required under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6 and pursuant to the terms of this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall take effect at the time and in the manner prescribed in Health and Safety Code Section 34179 (h).

HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA, May 20, 2013

ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES: 4 BOARD MEMBERS:

Sweeney, Chair

Hodges

Salinas

Armas

NOES:

0

BOARD MEMBERS:

ABSTAIN:

0

BOARD MEMBERS:

ABSENT:

3

BOARD MEMBERS:

Bristow

Henson

Chairperson of the Oversight Board

ATTEST:

Oversight Board Designated Communication Official INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON
APPLYING AGREED UPON PROCEDURES
ALL FUNDS OTHER THAN THE LOW
AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND
ASSOCIATED WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY
CODE SECTIONS 34179.5(c)(1) THROUGH 34179.5(c)(6)
FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE
HAYWARD REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

This Page Left Intentionally Blank



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED UPON PROCEDURES ON ALL FUNDS OTHER THAN THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND ASSOCIATED WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 34179.5(c)(1) THROUGH 34179.5(c)(6)

To the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency of The Hayward Redevelopment Agency Hayward, California

We have applied the procedures below, which were agreed to by the Successor Agency of the Hayward Redevelopment Agency, solely to assist you with respect to the procedures required under California Health and Safety Code Sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(6) for all funds other than the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the Successor Agency of the Hayward Redevelopment Agency for the year ended June 30, 2012, also referred to as the Due Diligence Review by the Code. These procedures were suggested by the Governmental Auditing and Accounting Committee, as agreed to by the California State Department of Finance and State Controller's Office. Management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records, the Attachment and information provided pertaining to the statutory compliance pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures you requested us to perform and our findings were as follows:

Citation:

34179.5(c)(1) The dollar value of assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012.

1

F 925.930.0135

Suggested Procedure(s):

 Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

Results: We obtained the following listing from the staff of the City of Hayward (City staff) and agreed the transferred amounts listed to the Successor Agency's accounting records without exception.

| | | Balances |
|--|-------|-----------------|
| | Tì | ransferred to |
| | Suc | cessor Agency |
| | on Fe | ebruary 1, 2012 |
| | | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 9,464,524 |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agent | | 214,096 |
| Total current assets | | 9,678,620 |
| Noncurrent assets: | | |
| Loans receivable | | 33,526,981 |
| Land held for resale | | 9,565,031 |
| Capital assets: | | |
| Land and land improvements | | 5,277,955 |
| Depreciable capital assets, net | | 13,726,549 |
| Total noncurrent assets | | 62,096,516 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 71,775,136 |

Citation:

34179.5(c)(2) The dollar value of assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

Suggested Procedure(s):

2. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results: Not applicable. While the Redevelopment Agency transferred all assets to the City on March 1, 2011, all assets were subsequently transferred back to the Redevelopment Agency and then transferred to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results: Not applicable. According to City staff, there were no such transfers that occurred February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Results: Not applicable.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(3) The dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

Suggested Procedure(s):

- 3. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, the Redevelopment Agency did not make any transfers from Other Funds to other public agencies or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, the Redevelopment Agency did not make any transfers from Other Funds to other public agencies or to private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Results: Not applicable.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(4) The review shall provide expenditure and revenue accounting information and identify transfers and funding sources for the 2010–11 and 2011–12 fiscal years that reconciles balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the Controller for the 2009–10 fiscal year.

Suggested Procedure(s):

- 4. Perform the following procedures:
 - A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in Attachment A for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.

Result: See Schedule below:

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF HAYWARD (DISSOLVED AGENCY) COMPARATIVE ASSET BALANCE LISTING

| | 12 | development Agency Months Ended 6/30/2010 | 12 | Agency Months Ended 6/30/2011 | 7 N | development Agency Months Ended 1/31/2012 | | Successor Agency Months Ended 6/30/2012 |
|---|-------|--|----|-------------------------------|-----|--|-----|--|
| Assets (modified accrual basis) | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 15,496,928 | \$ | 4,153,377 | \$ | 15,133,494 | \$ | 5,432,374 |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agent | | 4,009,382 | | 4,249,265 | | 214,096 | | 4,009,367 |
| Accounts receivable | | 330,419 | | 9,744 | | - | | 139,736 |
| Interest receivable | | 42,952 | | 7,736 | | - | | - ' |
| Due from other funds | | - | | - | | 1,477,344 | | <u>.</u> |
| Loans receivable | | 41,260,914 | | 33,973,002 | | 41,528,707 | | 33,446,012 |
| Long-Term interfund receivable | | 3,421,374 | | 3,876,516 | | 3,876,516 | | - |
| Land held for redevelopment | | 10,272,570 | | - ** | | 10,272,570 | | 9,565,031 |
| Total assets | \$ | 74,834,539 | \$ | 46,269,640 | \$ | 72,502,727 | \$ | 52,592,520 |
| Liabilities (modified accrual basis) | | ×. | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | 536,663 | | 141,018 | | 4,900 | | 114,699 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 1,626,068 | | 18,456 | | - | | 6,838 |
| Interest payable | | | | - | | 1,028,759 | | |
| Deferred revenue | | 41,260,914 | | 33,973,002 | | 41,528,707 | | 33,446,012 |
| Advances from other funds | | 9,144,570 | | 7,789,839 | | 6,989,843 | | 7,016,442 |
| Refundable deposits | | 919,833 | | 367,896 | | 667,896 | | 128,000 |
| Notes payable-LT interfund payable | | 5,294,326 | | 3,876,516 | | 3,876,516 | | 3,876,516 |
| Due to other governments | | | | 984,937 | | _ | | -,, |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 58,782,374 | \$ | 47,151,664 | \$ | 54,096,621 | \$ | 44,588,507 |
| Equity | | 16,052,165 | | (882,025) | | 18,406,106 | | 8,004,013 |
| Total Liabilities + Equity | \$ | 74,834,539 | \$ | 46,269,640 | \$ | 72,502,727 | \$ | 52,592,520 |
| 36 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenues: | | 11,283,975 | | 11,890,656 | | 4,285,493 | | 2,928,815 |
| Total Expenditures: | | (16,969,822) | | (14,162,021) | | (1,939,613) | | (3,358,513) |
| Total Transfers: | | (1,286,228) | | (17,890,506) | | (429,115) | | 4,050,957 |
| Net change in equity | \$ | (6,972,075) | \$ | (20,161,871) | \$ | 1,916,765 | _\$ | 3,621,259 |
| Beginning Equity: | | 22,404,778 | | 16,052,165 | | (882,025) | | _ * |
| Ending Equity: | \$ | 16,052,165 | \$ | (882,025) | \$ | 18,406,106 | \$ | 8,004,013 |
| Other Information (show year end balances for all four periods presen | ted): | | | | | | | |
| Capital assets as of end of year(Fund 911) | \$ | 19,602,999 | \$ | 19,224,855 | Ś | 19,004,504 | ¢ | 18,847,111 |
| Long-term debt as of end of year(Fund 921)) | • | 51,570,000 | • | 50,170,000 | * | 50,170,000 | | 48,630,000 |

Notes:

B. Ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

Result: Per review of the schedule above, 4A, it appears that the total of all revenues, expenditures and transfers account fully for changes in equity from the previous period.

C. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.

Result: As noted in the above schedule 4A, we traced the amounts in the schedule above to the June 30, 2010 state controllers report without exception.

^{*} Beginning equity is zero because this was a new fund and did not have prior period ending equity.

D. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.

Result: As noted in the above Schedule 4A, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2011, we traced the amounts to the Redevelopment Agency audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 without exception. As noted in the above Schedule 4A, for the seven-month period ending January 31, 2012, we traced amounts to the City's accounting records without exception. As noted in the above Schedule 4A, we traced amounts to the City's accounting records for the Successor Agency for the five-month period ending June 30, 2012 without exception.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(5) A separate accounting for the balance for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund for all other funds and accounts combined shall be made as follows:

(A) A statement of the total value of each fund as of June 30, 2012.

Suggested Procedure(s):

5. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012. When this procedure is applied to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the schedule attached as an exhibit will include only those assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.

Results: See Schedule below prepared by City staff. We agreed the balances of the following assets listed to the accounting records of the Successor Agency without exception.

| | Total |
|---|--------------|
| Cash and investments | \$5,432,374 |
| Cash and investments with fiscal agents | 4,009,367 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 139,736 |
| Loans receivable | 33,446,014 |
| Land held for resale | 9,565,031 |
| Land | 5,277,955 |
| Depreciable capital assets, net | 13,569,156 |
| Total Assets | \$71,439,633 |

Citation:

34179.5(c)(5)(B) An itemized statement listing any amounts that are legally restricted as to purpose and cannot be provided to taxing entities. This could include the proceeds of any bonds, grant funds, or funds provided by other governmental entities that place conditions on their use.

Suggested Procedure(s):

- 6. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:
 - A. Unspent bond proceeds:
 - Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, there were no unspent bond proceeds as of June 30, 2012.

- B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, there were no grant proceeds that were restricted by a third party.

- C. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).

iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by Successor the Agency as restricted.

Results: See Schedule below prepared by City staff. We traced individual components of this computation to the Successor Agency's accounting records. We also traced these components to legal documents that set forth the restrictions pertaining to these balances.

| Asset | Restrictions | 1 | Amount | Restricted Period of Time |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----|-----------|--|
| Restricted Cash | Debt Reserve 2004 TAB Reserve | \$ | 3,374,432 | Until last debt service payment is made. |
| Restricted Cash | Debt Reserve 2006 TAB Reserve | | 634,935 | Until last debt service payment is made. |

D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

Results: See Schedule 6C above.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(5)(C) An itemized statement of the values of any assets that are not cash or cash equivalents. This may include physical assets, land, records, and equipment. For the purpose of this accounting, physical assets may be valued at purchase cost or at any recently estimated market value. The statement shall list separately housing-related assets.

Suggested Procedure(s):

- 7. Perform the following procedures:
 - A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are **not** liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.

Result: See Schedule below. Per City staff, the balances are listed at purchase costs.

| ¥ | Non-liquid Assets of |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | the Successor |
| | Agency on |
| | June 30, 2012 |
| Loans receivable | \$33,446,014 |
| Land held for resale | 9,565,031 |
| Land | 5,277,955 |
| Depreciable capital assets, net | 13,569,156 |
| | \$61,858,156 |

B. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.

Result: We traced the balances to the audited financial statements without exception.

C. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.

Results: Not applicable.

D. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and\or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

Results: Not applicable.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(5)(D) An itemized listing of any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation that identifies the nature of the dedication or restriction and the specific enforceable obligation. In addition, the successor agency shall provide a listing of all approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of annual revenues available to fund those requirements. If a review finds that future revenues together with dedicated or restricted balances are insufficient to fund future obligations and thus retention of current balances is required, it shall identify the amount of current balances necessary for retention. The review shall also detail the projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the successor agency, together with both the amount and timing of the bond debt service payments of the successor agency, for the period in which the oversight board anticipates the successor agency will have insufficient property tax revenue to pay the specified obligations.

Suggested Procedure(s):

- 8. Perform the following procedures:
 - A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.
 - i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.
 - Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
 - iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
 - iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.

Results: Not applicable. Except for obligations listed under procedure #9, the City does not believe that additional assets balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations

- B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:
 - i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
 - ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:

a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, the Other Funds did not have any available assets as of June 30, 2012 to be retained for this purpose.

- C. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.
 - i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
 - ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
- iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

Results: Per inquiry of City staff, the staff believes there are sufficient projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to pay bond debt services payments.

- D. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.
 - Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
 - Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
- iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.

Results: Not applicable, following results of procedures performed at 8.A, 8.B and 8.C above.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(5)(E) An itemized list and analysis of any amounts of current balances that are needed to satisfy obligations that will be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for the current fiscal year.

Suggested Procedure(s):

9. If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results: We obtained a listing that cash balances totaling \$7,338,068 are required to be retained by the Successor Agency to satisfy enforceable obligations on approved recognized obligation payment schedules for the period between July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, as detailed in Attachment A provided by the Successor Agency.

Citation:

34179.5(c)(6) The review shall total the net balances available after deducting the total amounts described in subparagraphs (B) to (E), inclusive, of paragraph (5). The review shall add any amounts that were transferred as identified in paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision (c) if an enforceable obligation to make that transfer did not exist. The resulting sum shall be available for allocation to affected taxing entities pursuant to Section 34179.6. It shall be a rebuttable presumption that cash and cash equivalent balances available to the successor agency are available and sufficient to disburse the amount determined in this paragraph to taxing entities. If the review finds that there are insufficient cash balances to transfer or that cash or cash equivalents are specifically obligated to the purposes described in subparagraphs (B), (D), and (E) of paragraph (5) in such amounts that there is insufficient cash to provide the full amount determined pursuant to this paragraph, that amount shall be demonstrated in an additional itemized schedule.

Suggested Procedure(s):

10. Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities (Attachment A). Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment. The attached example summary schedule may be considered for this purpose. Separate schedules should be completed for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and for all other funds combined (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund).

Results: See Attachment B. Per City staff the City was not required to make a payment to the County Auditor Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance.

Suggested Procedure(s):

11. Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

Results: Management signed and provided the representation letter dated April 16, 2013 without exception.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the information provided for the purposes of the agreed-upon procedures and the Attachment. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures or had we performed an audit of the information provided for the purposes of the agreed-upon procedures and the Attachment, matters might have come to our attention which would have been reported to you.

This report is intended for the information of management and the Oversight Board, the State Department of Finance and State Controller's Office; however, this restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

April 16, 2013

Maze & Aprovata

Name of Redevelopment Agency: Project Area(s) RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE Per AB 26/AB 1484 - Section 34169 for Period July - Docember 2012

| 19 2004 Tate Almin Fee FY2012 Wides Fargo Barn I leave to fair of non-housing projects Found if a facilities from the fair of the found and inclinition for for board The fair of the fair | Band Insue to fund non-housing projects | District of South | Debt or Obligation | Total Due During Fiscal Year | Jul | Aug | Sep Payme | Payments by month Oct | Nov | g | Total | as of June Jul, 2012 needed to Satisfy Obligations | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|---|-----------------|
| | | Real Property Tax Trust | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Ī | 36,910,000.00 | 33,1981 50 | | 921,990,75 | - | | | | \$ 921,990.75 | 921,980,75 | See Note 3 |
| | Armusl administrative for for bond issuance | Ti Fund Ba ince | cannot estimate total amount | 1,800 00 | | 1.800.00 | | | | | 2000 | | |
| | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | | Varies every year - connot estimate total | 00000 | | | | | | | 1,862,00 | 0000 | See Note 3 |
| | Annual administrative fee for bond featurence | Rathore | Varies every year - cannot estimate total | 2000 | | | | | | | 1 | 000 | See Note 3 |
| | - | | Varies every year - connot estimate lotal | 2016 | | 6.175.00 | | | | | 5,175.00 | 5,175.00 | See Note 3 |
| | Bond result to fund non-housing prinects | RPTTF | 11,720,000,00 | 63" 342 30 | | 278.170.00 | | | | | S | 000 | See Note 3 |
| | - | Ti Fund Balance | Varies every year - cannol estimate total amount | 2,000,00 | | 2.000.00 | | | | | | 2/8/1/00 | See Note 3 |
| | Annual administrative fee for bond sesuance | | Varies every year - cannot estimate total amount | 2 000 00 | | | | | | | 7,000,00 | 2,000 00 | See Note 3 |
| | Annual administrative fee for bond sstance | Ti Fund Balanca | Valles every year - cannot estimate total amount | 1129.00 | | 112000 | | | | | | 000 | |
| | | RPTTF | Varies every year - cannot estimate total annuni | 900 | | | | | | | 2000 | 13,100 | See Note 3 |
| d for Restaurant Constuling | To fund start-up costs of Hayward Redevelopment Project Area | | 7.016.422.00 | 80000000 | | | | | | | | 0000 | |
| - T | ERAF FY10 and FY11 payment | RPITE | 3,876,516.00 | 2.584,344.00 | | | | | | | \$ 5 | Denied In DOF | |
| TOTAL STATE OF THE | attraction | Ti Fund Bulance | 14,287.50 | 3,787.50 | 3,787.50 | | | | | | 3.787.50 | 378750 | Con Note 3 |
| 8) Footbill Facade Loans Multiple Property Owners Improvement program | | TI Fund Balance | 1.508.000.00 | 1 108 000 00 | 350 339 00 | 950 473 00 | W FLE BAE | | | | | | |
| | Project Delivery Costs to Implement Frothill Facada Loan Project | RPTTE | 24,432.00 | 24.432.00 | 407200 | 4 072 00 | 40000 | 4070 00 | 2000 | 00 | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | DC 1706 00011 | See Note 3 |
| 10) Employee Leave Liability Late My Fund deposit for em | | RPITF | 49,175.00 | 49.175.00 | 49 175 00 | | | | | - | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | 00.254.65 | 350 1000 3 |
| 11) PERS Lubilly (Note 2) Lubilly Fund employee PER | | RPTTF | 645,235.40 | 666,235.40 | 000 | | | | | | | 000000 | C PION POC |
| LlabAty Fund | Lizbinity Fund deposit for Agency emplayre OPEB costs | RPITE | 177,227.20 | 177.277.20 | 00 0 | | | | | | , | 500 | |
| 13) Agency insurance costs City of Yayward Lability Insura Contract for Mission Bivd Specific Hall Alminana, Consultant to | Name of the specific plan for | RPTTF | 54,042.00 | 54,042.00 | 4,503.50 | 4,503.50 | 4,503.55 | 4,503,50 | 4,503.50 | 4,503,50 | 27,021.00 | 37,0.100 | See Note 3 |
| Inc/Lamphier Gregory | Mission Blvd comdor Per ABx1 25, to cover administrative costs | Ti Fund Balance | 213,649.44 | 182,412,00 | 15.701.00 | 15,201.00 | 15 201.00 | 16 201.00 | 15,201.00 | 15,201 00 | \$ 91,206.00 | 91 20/100 | See Note 3 |
| vance City of Hayward | of Sucre of Agency Security Patrol Services for Chema Place | RPTTF | 250,000,00 | 250,000,00 | 20,833,33 | 20,633.33 | 20,833.33 | 20,833,33 | 20,833,33 | 20,831,33 | 125,000.00 | 125,000.00 | See Note 3 |
| ABC Security Services | | PPTTF | 00 000 00 | 68 000 00 | 5611567 | 26W 67 | 5 664 67 | 5,816,67 | 5,665.67 | 79 999 | 34,000.00 | 34,01000 | See Note 3 |
| | | PPITE | 00 000 2 | 2,100,00 | 175.00 | 175.00 | 17500 | 175.00 | 1)500 | 175.00 | 1,050,00 | 1,050.00 | See Note 3 |
| Montgomery Sweeping Service | Cussardan | 32200 | ON BOOK CE | 0000001 | CE COC | 63 796 | 56833 | 200 | 583.7 | 58133 | 3,500,00 | 3,500,00 | See Note 3 |
| П | | RPTTF | 28,000.00 | 28,000,00 | 230333 | 233333 | 2324 33 | 2 394 38 | 2 243 44 | 1,000,000 | 6,000,00 | 00'000'9 | See Mole 3 |
| City of Hayward AEDIS Architecture & | Ī | RPTTF | 000'006 | 00 009 | 4167 | 41,67 | 41.57 | 41.67 | 4167 | 41,67 | 250.00 | 22000 | See Note 3 |
| Planning | Burlank School Env Remediation Work | Ti Fund Balance | 8 504 54 | 6,504,54 | | 650454 | | | | | 6,504,54 | 6,504,54 | See Note 3 |
| 30) Contract for Env Remonsilion Work TRC Burbank School | Burbank School Erry Remediation Work | Il Fund Bolance | 20,000,00 | 15.628.87 | 5,208.96 | 5,70n as | 5,208 98 | | | 40 | 15,626.87 | 01903 53 | See Note 3 |
| 37) Contract for Env Rein dation TRC Work Work | 6 | (\$72,882.77) RPTTF (\$255,728) | 328,610.00 | 328,610,00 | | 14.576.55 | 1457655 | 14.578.55 | 14 578 55 | 1457855 | 17.089.07 | | To all the same |
| Project D extry Costs - Burbank City of Hayward Purchase and Purchase and (Successor Agency) project mante or project p | | RPTTF | 18 453.00 | 18 863 00 | 4 543 83 | 464789 | 766737 | 640 63 | 6000 | | | 1,2,01 | Sec Note of |
| mer City of Hayward (Successor Agency) | iš S ≥ | RPTTF | 128 580 00 | 128 58p (c) | 0. Ar. 0. | 831.01 | | 3 5 | | 202 | | 00 1987/2 | See Note 3 |
| 40) Contract for Env Remediation AMEC Geomatrix Inc. Env rume Its Contract for Financial Analysis Keyser Morsion Financial Analysis | Itign - Cinema Place palvers | Ti Fund Balance | 195,070,62 | 103.67.77 | 8635.42 | 8.635.42 | 8 635 42 | 8,635,42 | 8,635,42 | 8 635,42 \$ | 51,812.53 | 5181257 | See Note 3 |
| 45) Contract for Water Testing SWRCB maniforing of a | | Ti Fund Balance | 4,939,94 | 4,500.94 | 499994 | | | | | 1 14 | 491994 | P0 000 P | See Note 3 |
| S0) A8 1484 Aud? Expenses TRD Housing Fund | | Ti Fund Balance | 15,000.00 | 15 000 00 | | 15,000 00 | | | | | | 45,000,00 | 0.000 |
| 51) Oversuth Board Legal Courses TBD (for outside lega | for outside legal course) | TI Fund Balance | 20,000,00 | 50,000,00 | | | \$ 000.00 | 8,000,00 | 8,000,00 | 8 00000 | | 00000000 | C Trial Case |

| dame of Redevelopment Agency: | Hayw |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Project Area(s) | A |

| Cinema Piace Maintenance Expense 52) Repayment | Bake Hunt Ventures | Reimbursement of overpaid funds on deposit or wintun ambitations opposes to holder of Chemis Place ground lease 17 Fund Balances | Ti Fund Balance | Vartes every year depending on actual maintenance expenses - cannol estimate total amount | 00 000 9 | | A STATE OF THE STA | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|
| Chierra Placo Maintenance Reserve City of Hayward SS) FY 12 Payment (Successor Anen | City of Hayward (Successor Agency) | Per requirements of ground lease, annual payment to capital maintenance reserve for Cinema Place pion shucker. | Ti Fund Balance | 82.132.00 | 297200 | | W.CIB.C | | | | 000000 | 630000 | See Nole 3 |
| Cinema Place Maintenance Reserve City of Hayward S3) FY 13 Pryment (Successor Ager | City of Hayward (Successor Agency) | Per requirements of ground lease, annual payment into capital maintenance reserve for Cheime Place plon structure. | RPTTF | 69.160.00 | 2,872,00 | | | | | | 007/67 | 2,372,00 | S.e Note 3 |
| Totale . This Pane | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| affe : call a const | | | | \$ 63,156,506,84 \$ | 6,150,736.84 \$ | 513,482.10 \$ | 1,711,128.50 \$ 475,087.21 \$ | 097.21 \$ 97,910.64 \$ | B4 \$ 97,140.64 \$ | \$ 97,960,64 | \$ 2,993,649,73 \$ | 2,993,649,73 | |

Name of Successor Agency: Hayward Successor Agency
County:
Alamen

RECOGNIZED OBLICATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS III) January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013

| | | | | | | | | | | Fur | Funding Source | | a | Union fictor Crash as of line 34, 2012 Hended to Salisto | Explanation of Way Cash is |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------|----------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------|--|-------------------------------|
| Bern # Project Namo / Debt Obsgation | Contract/Agreement Execution Date | Contract/Agreement Termination Date | Paved | Description/Project Scoon | Desired Area | 5 | Total Due During | - india | Bend Ro | Reserve / | | _ | | Obligations | Neded |
| Tax Atlantition Bunds. | PORGINE | 5112034 | Wedstatto | Tend so, a to land to Abanyang promits | 4 | Services | 3360181.2 | + | + | + | Allowanco RP | PATE OTHER | Shr-h | Control of the Contro | |
| Ta) 2004 TAS Assess Free Freint | N107094 | 5077034 | SY12D34 Wells Famo | An and agreement the for bond is name | | Genes (Mely year. | | | | | - | 7 | 7.460,160 | 244 201 10 | September 1 |
| \$10 /4 44 - 07 64 FOC | P.C. C11/8 | roous | SMOO34 West Fares | Average in incluidation for fact factoring | | canery estimate solar | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | William I Swan | Vaces meny year- | 1,000 | | | + | 1 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 1,500,000 | See Marg 1 |
| 16) 2004 TAIL Admin Five PY2012 | 571.7.04 | SHOOM | Srif2034 Wedan | Arrupal administrative fine for bond facusmon | Haward Dewich | Athone) | E,175.eq | | - | + | 1 | | | | |
| 1d) 2004 TAB Admin Fine PY2013 | P000016 | POLITICA WIND | Wilden | Arrestal administration for for band on care | | cassol estimate total | \$175.00 | | | | | 5,175 | 518 | 5,175,00 | See little 1 |
| 2001 TAB Admin For Prints | 20,000 | enzone energia | SHAKUS Wells Pargo | Dand is seas to fund bath-har right | 1 | Vanis every year - | 636340.00 | | | | | 356,470.00 | 358,170 | CD 021,121 | 2n Note 1 |
| 2b) 336 TAB ///e Fee Pr2013 | 9002/109 | 600000 | G10036 West Faus | Amount of which the fee fee bend is such a | Hawaid Damitous | Ambura Values every year - cannot estimate lotal | 000000 | | + | | - | | | | |
| N. S. B. Admin Fee PT 1972 | 90021.9 | 847.538 | 6 Villedan | Annual administration les for bond issuance | 1 | Valves every jeur - cannol extensor lotal amount | 0007 | | | - | - | 2,000.00 | 2,000 | (O M) (| See hote 1 |
| POSTAG Agric Fee Fr 2013 | 80172008 | 811,0038 | 5 Villedan | Annual administrative fee for bond immonities | Haward Dawy | Viete every year - cannot extensite total | 00000 | | | | | 10000 | - | | |
| Repartment in women with an of Hayward | 27211975 | When paid of | | To land sort up costs of Hayward Redeminer I Press Assa | Huyaari Qaanii ugi | 7 010,422.00 | | | | | | 1 | | SOUTH PRINCE | Note 1 |
| 8 Contract for Festivant Consultary | 10/12/2011 | 113902011 | Ne Star Resourant | Cow to SERAF PYIG and FYII por | Hawer Describer | 3.876.516.00 | OF ASSESSED. | | | - | | | | tick adpoints) | - |
| #Tepscaleges | 1000800 | When property owner work | Addicto Property Owners | Matching loan track for property owners string I coloni libed for packet and property owners to be a colonial tracking to the colonial tracking tracking to the colonial tracking | | 00000 | 200 | | | _ | L | | | | |
| Footbill Fapade Lonn Payed Lebhery Com- (Unit Contol road Fees) | W | P.EA | orcessus Agency | Project Univery Costs to Implement Fownii Facade Lays Project | Hinard Danion | 244000 | 00 00 00 | | | _ | | | , | | |
| Employer (care Listing) | | | | Convertible and payoffs substity fored at paint to efrepayore travel contact. | Hayward Drawtown | 49,075.00 | 48.175.00 | - | | | | 24.00 | 26,432 | 24,622.00 | The Note 1 |
| OPER Leather | 210012 | NA NA | Liability Fand | Liability fund day will be Agency remotory of NA costs. | Hawward Cownlown | 000,000,40 | 000,236.40 | | | | | 068,2% | 50,000 | (** 235.NO | face Note 1 |
| 13 Agency ansurance costs | 1100117 | Aurual Agreement | ıq | Listolly Insurance | Hipmand Dountown | 54,04200 | R | 1 | - | | - | 177.271 | 177,277 | 00 12777 00 12777 | See tikke 1 |
| Contract for Mexicos filed Specific Plan | 11117/2009 | 5317013 | | Countbut to propare specific pay for Minima BM tonium | Elseryand Downlawn | 273,849 44 | 162,412, T | | _ | 91 304 | | | 100 | Or and an | |
| Successor Annual Paris, Namento | 200302 | NEA | Cft. of Hayward | Per ABLITAL to cover administrative contact for contact Agency | Hayverd Danman | 250,000,00 | 20000000 | | | | 128.300 | | 175.620 | 128 300 00 | T SEN SE |
| | | Annual Agreement | ARC Security Servicers | Security Patrol Services for Clean a Place games | Haward Janmann | 200000 | | | | | | | | 30U M Parinet | |
| 24 Ce 1847 Becuit A. 11 (See Notes 1998) | | Arnual Agreement | Tyxo Integrated Security L.C. | Norm Service les Câneira Plans grange | Myward Cowmission | 1,056.00 | | | | | | 1.015 | 1985 | 1.050-00 | live Mets 1 |
| 25 Total Page | 7m1mbt2 | Armusi Agreement | Mheubith Chechia | Charles Place Devine | Hyperic Diversion | 3,900,00 | | - | | - | | 2,850 | 3,400 | 3 500 00 | The Note 1 |
| Contract har liverying (See Hotte page) | 7HI2012 | Annual Agreement | Montpornery Sweeping Senice | Cuema Place Garage Sweeping | Harward Downlesson | 0,000,0 | | | | | | 6,000 | 6,000 | 00.009 | Tata Note 1 |
| 28 Ublifes (files Noies page) | 1741/2D11/ | Annual Agreement | Ohr of Harward | Control Place Water Ballions | Harvey Doesgoon | 14,000 0. | | | | | | 14,000 | 000 +1 | 1000001 | Cotte Note 5 |
| Contract for Env Remembation Work (saw Notes page) | 772072904 | 2011/102 | ure & Planning | Red bands Statement State Chammad at Seaso Marks | | | | | | - | | 8 | 250 | 220 00 | See Mile 1 |
| Countries left tim/ Hamessiben Work [see Holes page] | 2947005 | BAGGDDS TRC | | Burbank School Cor Franse, dien West | Managed Downtown | a compa | 100000 | | | + | 1 | | | AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN | T |
| Contract for Eure Harnedisation Work (side Notes page) | 110575011 | 5197,615 | | R tual Buttank Site - Re nonel Action Work | Hawaid Downtown | 228.610.00 | 208 619 00 | - | | - | - | 244. 024 | , 1 | 1000 | |
| San Control of the control of the co | NIN | NA | | Finalitie inspirition on and successed to Princip a And Tale Agreement - staff project ingret co-margal lock | Hinyward Downlown | 36,863.00 | 36.883.00 | | | | | 18 435 | CF2.84 | 00 120 91 | Charleton o |
| 39 led popules | NA | NA | Agency) | State project reynol with the law, a topolity ingred on its, appraisal coults, other associated with to proposity disposition. | 1 my April Downsown | 128,580.00 | 128,580.00 | | | | | 60.36 | 2000 | 64.200170 | Tee Note 1 |
| (eBed | EUSE/CAS | | is the | Ger Remediation - Chausa Place | Haward Drawtown | 195,070 62 | 173,625.00 | | | 51.813 | | | 81.813 | E0.512.03 | fige Note (|
| a contraction of the contraction | | YA. | parton | A UNITED AND THE | Filtration conditions | 20,000,00 | 7,700.0 | | | + | | | | | |
| 46 Certratherness hang | Britzer(2) | Droot monitoring complete NA | Associators | Water locking at Chaters Plans - mank-ring of side Audit remarked to Alfrank | Fayward Downtown | 15005Y | 1,999.04 | 1 | | | - | 1 | , | | |
| Own Miland Seal Council | ž | 102 | | Per Oversight Board mope at \$1-50. pay for mate de tegal | | | 2000000 | - | - | L | | | | GUO | see Note 1 |
| | | | | | Proposed Loosedown | The family making | 1000000 | | - | 1 | - | 30 thu | 30,000 | 30,000,00 | New Note 1 |
| Chema Place hande mode Exposse Repaired | 7/1/2607 | Until 2042 | Blades Hunt Ventumes | Reminerances of ompaid hants on throat for an und soften and softe | Hawcood Dovelbur | maybe accepted on the second of the second o | | | | | | | | | |
| Gnerros Pisco Majohossava Rassave FY12 Paystesi | 741/2007 | | Buccessor | Per implements of Council Leave, artified priminal into capital Destinations income for Council Place, and Exchanges and Total | 1 | | | + | \vdash | $\frac{1}{1}$ | - | | | (Koley Dy DOX | |
| Cinema Place Maintenance Reserve FY13 | | Γ | | Fer requirements of Ground Lease, secuol pagesent into capital | Paperson Lorentem | 82,132,00 | | | | | 1 | - | | Described A | T |
| A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | | | T | Institute against the second property of the contract of the c | Hyward Downlown | 69,180.00 | + | + | - | + | | - | | Denked by TOF | |

Note 1: The charges or a plan and the Deciment of the Control of the Control Adenty to the Control of the Contr

Attachment B

| OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES (EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND) | \$71,439,633 | | (4,009,367) | (61,858,156) | (8 | (7,338,068) | | (\$1,765,958) |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES (EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND) | Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 5) | Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3) | Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 6) | Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 7) | Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 8) | Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9) | Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance | Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities |

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Name of Redevelopment Agency: Hayward Successor Agency Project Area(s)

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE Per AB 26/AB 1484 - Section 34169 for Period July - December 2012

| Project Name / Debt Obligation | | | | Total Outstanding | Total Due During | | | Pay | Payments by month | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Fayee | Description | Source of Funding | Debt or Obligation | Fiscal Year | lul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| Wells | Wells Fargo | Bond is sue to fund non-housing projects | Real Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) | 36.910.000.00 | 3 368 981 50 | | 25 000 500 | | | | | |
| Wells | Wells Fargo | 4 nausi administrative fee for bond issuance | 71 Fund Balance | aries every ear- cannot estimate total | 1 800 00 | | 2000 70 | | | | 69 | 921 990.75 |
| Wells | Wells Fargo | Annual a iministrative fee for bond issuance | RPITE | Varies every year- cannol estimate total amount | 00 008 1 | | 00000 | | | | ы | 1,800.00 |
| Wilden | c | Annual administrative fee for bond tesuance | Ti Fund Balance | Varies e en y pour - cann t estimate tetal amount | \$ 175.00 | | 27.0 | | | | 61 | |
| Willdan | c | Annual administration fee for bond issuance | RPTTF | 2 4 | 6.715.00 | | 000 | | | | 9 | 6.175.00 |
| Wells Farg | Wells Fargs Malls Farms | Bond issue to fund non-housing projects | RPTTF | Varios every year - | 636,340.00 | | 278,170.00 | | | | * 9 | 278.170.00 |
| Kells | Wells Fargo | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | KPTTF | anecont anecont entrate total amount | 2 200 00 | | 2,000,00 | | | | 9 | 2 000 00 |
| Willdan | G | Annuel administrative fee for bund issuance | TI Fund Balance | Varies every year cannot esumato touri amount | 1 120 00 | | 1,120,00 | | | | 19 (| |
| Wildan | c | Amutar administrative, tee for bonu issuance | RP TTE | Varies every year - cannot estimate total | 00 001 1 | | | | | | n e | 1,120,00 |
| Repayment Agreement with City of Hayward | City of Haymard | To fund start-up costs of Hayward Redemislopment Project Area | RPTTF | 7,016,422.00 | 800.000.00 | 800,000,00 | | | | | 40 0 | |
| | Hay and Housing Authority | Loan for SERAF F 710 and F 711 payments One-on-one restaurant consultinorrelail | КРТТ Е | 3,876,516,00 | £.684.344.19 | 215-335-00 | 246,362.00 | 345 362.00 | 10-55-9t | 246-345 | 215.36.00 | 4,00,000,00 |
| Contract for Restaurant Consulting Fire St | Five Star Restaurant | attraction | Ti Fund Balance | 14,287.50 | 3,787.50 | 3,757,50 | | | | | 65 | 3 787 50 |
| Multipl | Multiple Property Owners | Matching loan funds for property owners along Footbill Blvd for façade improvement program | Ti Fund Balance | 1,108,000,00 | 1,108,000.00 | 369,333.00 | 369.333.00 | 369.334.00 | | |) u | 1 108 000 00 |
| Foothill Façade Loan Project Delivery Costs (Staff Costs/Legal Fee*) | Stor Agency | Project Delivery Costs to Implement Foothill Façade Loan Project | RPTTF | 24.432.00 | 24.432.00 | 4,072.00 | 4.072.00 | 4 072 00 | 4 072 00 | 4 072 00 | 00 00 00 | 00 000 |
| Liability | Employees of Agency/ Liability Fund | Leave balance payoffs/liability fund deposit for employee leave costs | RPTTF | 49.175.00 | 49 175.00 | 49 175.00 | | - | | 20.710.1 | +- | 24,432,00 |
| Liabilit | Liability Fund | Liability Fund deposit for Agency employee PERS costs | RPTTF | 666,235.40 | 676,235.40 | 0.00 | | | | | A 4 | 49,175.00 |
| Liabilit | Liability Fund | Liability Fund deposit for Agency employee OPEB costs | RPTTF | 177,227.20 | 177,227.20 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| City of | City of Hayward | | RPTTF | 54,042.00 | 54,042.00 | 4,503 50 | 4,503.50 | 4.503.50 | 4.503.50 | 4,503,50 | 4.503.50 \$ | 27.021.00 |
| 16) Contract for Mission Blvd Specific Plan Gregor | ry | Shyd corridor | Ti Fund Balance | 213 649,44 | 182,412,00 | 15,201.00 | 15.201.00 | 15.201.00 | 15 201 00 | 15 201 00 | - | 00 900 10 |
| Successor Agency Admin Allowance City of | City of Hayward | Per ABX1 26, to cover admininstrative costs of Successor Agency | RPTTF | 250.000.00 | 250,000.00 | 20,833.33 | 20.833.33 | 20 833 33 | 20 R31 33 | 20 833 33 | - | 91,500,00 |
| ABCS | ABC Security Services | e c | RPTTF | 66,000.00 | 65,000.00 | 5,666 67 | 5,66% 67 | 5.66.6 67 | 5.666.67 | 5 666 67 | - | 34 000 00 |
| | AU I Security Services | ma Place garage | RPTTF | 2,100.00 | 2,100.00 | 175.00 | 175.00 | 175.00 | 175.00 | 175.00 | - | 1,050.00 |
| Centract for Elevator Maint and Repair Mitsubi | Mitsubishi Electric Montgumery Sileeping | Cinema Place Ele ator | RPTTF | 7,000,00 | 7,000.00 | 583.33 | 583.33 | 583,33 | 583.33 | 583,53 | 583.33 \$ | 3,500.00 |
| Service | Service | Cinema Place Garage Sweeping | RPTTF | 12,000.00 | 12,000,00 | 1,000.00 | 1.000.00 | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 | 1 000.00 | 1 000 00 | A 000 an |
| 100 | Homore | 8 | RPTTF | 28.000.00 | 28,000,00 | 2,333,33 | 2.333.33 | 2,333.33 | 2 333,33 | 2.333,33 | + | 14,000,00 |
| L | AEDIS Architecture & | | RPITE | 900.00 | 200.00 | 41.67 | 41.07 | 41.67 | 41.67 | 41.67 | 41.87 \$ | 250.00 |
| 30) Contract for Env Remediation Work Plannin 30) Contract for Env Remediation Work TRC | BL. | | Ti Fund Balance | 6,504.54 | 6,504.54 | | 6.504.54 | | | | 45 | 6 504 54 |
| П | Andrew Kong Knight | Cinema Place Mural | TI Fund Balance | 20,000.00 | 15.626.87 | 5,208.96 | 5,208.98 | 5,208.96 | | | 9 | 15,626,87 |
| TRC | | | (\$72 882 77) PPT16 (\$255 728) | 3.28 610 Ca | 00.040 | | | | | | ub . | |
| Project Delivery Costs - Burbank Residual City of H Site | ayward (Successor | Finalize negatiation and execution of Purchase and Sale Agreement - staff project inginit costs/legal fees | RPTTF | 18,863.00 | 18,863,00 | 4.643.83 | 4 643 83 | 464383 | 14 576 55 | 14,576.55 | 14 576.55 | 72,882,77 |
| Property Dispusition Casts - former City of 1 | City of Hayward (Successor Agency) | s, property associated | RPTTF | 128,580.00 | 128,580.00 | 10 715.00 | 10 215 00 | 00 212 00 | 00 345 04 | 200 | | 77, 863,00 |
| | | Env Remediation - Cinema Place | Ti Find Balance | 00 000 000 | | | 00:00 | 00:01 | 10.011.00 | 10.7 15.00 | 4 00.617.01 | 64.290.00 |

Hayward Successor Agency All Name of Redevelopment Agency: Project Area(s)

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE

| 46) Contract for Water Testing | SWRCB | Water testing at Cinema Place - monitoring of site | TI Find Ralance | 40.000.4 | FG 400 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | If required by AB 1484 of Low Mod | | 4,555.34 | 4,833,34 | 4.999.94 | | | | | 6, | 4,999,94 |
| 50) AB 1484 Audit Expenses | TBD | | Ti Fund Balance | 00 000 31 | 55,000,00 | | 200000 | | | | | |
| 51) Oversight Board Legal Counsel | твр | Per Oversight Board request, funds to pay far autside legal counsel | Ti Fund Balance | 26,000.00 | 00 000 09 | | Aprilano al | 5 000 00 | 00 000 3 | 40 1000 | | |
| Cinema Place Maintenance Expense 52) Repayment | Blake Huni Ventures | Reimbursement of compaid finus on deposition amusi mantienance expenses to holder of Cinema Place ground lease | 11 - und Balance | Vanes ever rear de riding en actual manten nos expenses - cannot estimate total amount | 00 00 00 00 | | ac cons | O Yes | 00000 | 00 00000 | 00 000 0 | |
| City of Hay and (Successor 59) 12 Payment | City of Haymard (Successor Agency) | Per requirements of ground lease, annual payment into capital maintenance reserve for Cinema Place plans structura. | 11 Fund Ralance | 00.000 | | | | | | | | 6,300,00 |
| | | Per requirements of ground lease, armual | | | 2,375,00 | | 2,972,90 | | | | 89 | 2,972,00 |
| 50) 13 Fayment | Agency) | payment into capital maintenance reserve for Cinema Place pkng structure | RPTTF | 89 150.00 | 2 972 00 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Totals - This Page | | | | | | | | | | | 69 | |
| Grand lotal - All Panes | | | | \$ 63.178,150.37 \$ | | 1,313,482,10 \$ | 1,711,128,50 | \$ 475,097.21 \$ | 97,980.64 | 97.980.64 \$ | 97,980,64 | \$ 3.793,649.73 |
| come the same | | | | \$ 63,178,150,37 \$ | 8,157,447.83 \$ | 1.313,482.10 | 1,711,128,50 | 1.313.482.10 \$ 1.711.128.50 \$ 475.097.21 \$ | 97 980 64 C | 97 080 GA | 9 1 AB 080 TO | 2 702 640 75 |

Note 1: This total only reflects payments required between 71/12012 and 12/31/2012 and not the total outstanding obligation.
Note 2: Payment of these two line items deferred to future ROPS period.
Note 3: Contract finalized and final payment made in May 2012 - item removed from ROPS.

Updated: August 23, 2012

Successor Agency Contact Information

Name of Successor Agency:

County:

Hayward

Alameda

Primary Contact Name:

Primary Contact Title:

Kelly McAdoo Morariu Assistant City Manager

Hayward, CA 94541

777 B Street

510-583-4305

Address

Contact Phone Number:

Contact E-Mail Address:

Tracy Vesely

Secondary Contact Name: Secondary Contact Title:

Secondary Contact Phone Number:

Secondary Contact E-Mail Address:

Finance Director

510-583-4010

tracy.vesely@hayward-ca.gov

kelly.morariu@hayward-ca.gov

SUMMARY OF RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE Filed for the January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013 Period

Hayward Name of Successor Agency:

| Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation A Available Revenues Other Than Anticipated RPTTF Funding B Anticipated Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF C Anticipated Administrative Allowance Funded with RPTTF D Total RPTTF Requested (B + C = D) Total RPTTF Requested (B + C = D) Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation (A + B + C = E) Should be the same amount as ROPS form six-month total S Enter Total Civ Mooth Anticipated Debt or Obligation (A + B + C = E) Should be the same amount as ROPS form six-month total | \$ 63,184,507 |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| A Available Revenues Other Than Anticipated RPTTF Funding A Available Revenues Other Than Anticipated RPTTF Funding B Anticipated Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF C Anticipated Administrative Allowance Funded with RPTTF D Total RPTTF Requested (B + C = D) Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation (A + B + C = E) Should be the same amount as ROPS form six-month total S Enter Total Six Month Anticipated BDTTF Exadise. | Siv Month Total |
| Available Revenues Other Than Anticipate Anticipated Enforceable Obligations Funds Anticipated Administrative Allowance Func Total RPTTF Requested (B + C = D) Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or I Enter Total Six Month Anticipated Deptries | SIX-MOIIII IOIAI |
| Anticipated Administrative Allowance Func Total RPTTF Requested (B + C = D) Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or Finer Total Six Month Anticipated Dept. | 143,019 |
| Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or | 4,140,393 |
| Enter Total Six-Month Anticipated Dotter | \$ 4,408,412 |
| | \$ (4.265.393) |
| Prior Period (January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012) Estimated vs. Actual Payments (as required in HSC section 34186 (a)) | |
| G Enter Estimated Obligations Funded by RPTTF (<i>Should be the lesser of Finance's approved RPTTF amount including admin allowance or the actual amount distributed</i>) H Enter Actual Obligations Paid with RPTTF (see Notes tab - Note 2) I Enter Actual Administrative Expenses Paid with RPTTF J Adjustment to Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund (G - (H + I) = J) | 3,777,193 3,630,279 146,914 |
| K Adjusted RPTTF (The total RPTTF requested shall be adjusted if actual obligations paid with RPTTF are less than the estimated obligation amount.) | \$ 4,265,393 |

Michael Sweeney Name Signature The attached is a true and correct copy of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period ending June 30, 2013 approved by the Oversight Board. Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Mayor of City of Hayward Title

Date

Name of Successor Agency: Hayward County.

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS III) January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013

Oversight Birard Approval Date: August 23, 2012

| 5 | | | | | Total | Total Dura Durina | | | Funding Source | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|
| Execution Date | Termination Date | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | $\neg \neg$ | Fiscal Year 2012-13 LMIHF | Bond F Proceeds | Reserve Balance | Admin | RPTTF | Other | Six-Month Total |
| 미이 | 5/1/2004 5/1/2034 | 14 Wells Fargo | Bond issue to fund non-bousing projects | House of Paragraph | \$ 63.184.507 | 7,368,197 \$ | 69 | \$ 143,019 | \$ 125,008 | 4,140,393 \$ | , | 4,408,412 |
| | | | orogical Business and the second | nay ard Downlovin | 36,910,000,00 Waries ever year - | 3,358,981.50 | | | | 2,446,991 | | 2 448 991 |
| | 5/1/2004 5/1/203 | 5/1/2034 Wells Fargo | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hay and Doentown | cannot estimate total amount | 1,800.00 | | | | | | |
| 91 | 5/1/2004 5/1/203 | 5/1/2034 Wells Fargo | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hayward Downtown | Varies every year - cannol estimate total amount | 1.800.00 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 5/1/2004 5/1/203 | 5/1/2034 Willdan | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hayward Downtown | Varies every year - cannol estimate total amount | 5.175.00 | | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 2 | | 5/1/2034 Wildan | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hap and Downton | Varies every year - cannol estimate total | 4 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 6/1/2006 6/1/2036 | 6 Wells Fargo | Bond issue to fund non-housing projects | | 11,720,000.00 | 636,340.00 | | | | 5.175 | | 5.175 |
| 8 | 6/1/2006 6/1/203 | 6/1/2036 Wells Fargo | Annual administraine fee for bond issuance | Hayward Downtown | Varies every year - cunnot estimate total emount | 2,000,00 | | | | 358.170.00 | | 356,170 |
| 0 | 6/1/2006 | 5/1/2036 Wells Fargo | Arnual admini trative fee for bond issuance | Hay. ard Downtown | varies every year - cannot estimate total amount | 2,000,00 | | | | 0000 | | |
| 0 | 6/1/2006 6/1/203 | 6/1/2036 Wilden | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hay-ard Downtown | Varies every year - cannot estmate total amount | 1130.00 | | | | 2,000,00 | | 7 200 |
| 6 | 6/1/2006 6/1/203 | 6/1/2036 Willdan | Annual administrative fee for bond issuance | Hayward Downtown | Varies every year - cannot estimate total amount | 1120 00 | | | | | | |
| 9/23/1975 | | City of Hayward | To fund start-up costs of Hayward Redevelopment Project Area | Haymard Downtown | 7,016,422.00 | 000 | | | | 00 021.1 | | 1,126 |
| 110220 | | Hayward Housing Authority | Loan for SERAF FY10 and FY11 payments | Hayward Downtown | 3,876,516.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | 1 |
| 3 | | Five Star Restaurant | One-on-one restaurant consulting/retail attraction | Hayward Downtown | 14,287.50 | 3,787.50 | | | | | | |
| 3/9/2011 | 11 work is complete | Multiple Properly Owners | menuming roam united for property owners along rooms brind for façade improvement program | Hay-ard Downtown | 1,108,000.00 | 1.108.000.00 | | | | | | |
| Z | N/A N/A | Successor Agency | Project Delinery Costs to Implement Foothill Façade Loan Project | Hayward Downtown | 24 432 00 | 24 433 00 | | | | | | |
| 20 | N/A | Employees of Agency Liability Fund | Leave balance payoff: liability fund deposit for employee leave coats | Hannaged Comme | | 200 | | | | 24,432 | | 24 432 |
| 2/1/2012 | | Liability Fund | Liability Fund deposit for Agency employee PERS costs | Hayward Downtown | 666,235.40 | 49,175,00 | | | | 266 989 | | |
| 7/1/2011 | 1 Annual Agreement | City of Hamand | Liability Fund deposit for Agency employee OPEB costs | Hayward Downtown | 177,227 20 | 177,227.20 | | | | 177,227 | | 177,227 |
| 3 | | Hall Alminana, Inc/Lamphier | Lability inturance | Hay-ard Downtown | 54,042.00 | 54,042.00 | | | | 27.021 | | 27 021 |
| 244,000 | 5102/12/013 | Gregory | Concultant to prepare specific plan for Mission Blvd conidor. Per ABx1 26, to cover admininstrative costs of Successor. | Hayward Downtown | 213,649.44 | 182,412 00 | | 91.206 | | | | 91,206 |
| 2 2 | | City of Haymard | Agency | Hay and Downtown | 250,000.00 | 250,000.00 | | | 125,000 | | | 125,000 |
| 7/11/2012 | _ | ABC Security Services | Security Patrol Services for Cinema Place garage | Hay and Downlown | 00 000 90 | 68,000.00 | | | | 34.000 | | 34 300 |
| 711771171 | L | ADT Security Services | Alarm Service for Cinema Place garage | Hayward Downtown | 2,100.00 | 2,100.00 | | | | 1,050 | | 1.050 |
| 7/11/2012 | 2 Annual Agreement | Mitsubishi Electric | Cinema Place Elevator | Haymard Delimberin | 7.000 00 | 7,000.00 | | | | 3.500 | | 3 600 |
| 7/11/2012 | | Montgomery Sweeping Service | Cinema Place Garage S∞eeping | Hayward Downtown | 12,000 00 | 12.000.00 | | | | | | |
| 7/11/2012 | 2 Annual Agreement | PGE City of Hammard | Cinema Place Gerage Ubblides | Hayward Downtown | 28,000.00 | 2£ 000 00 | | | | 14,000 | | 14 000 |
| 2000/00/17 | | | | IMOUND DESCRIPTION | nn anc | 200 009 | | | 1 | 250 | + | 250 |
| 200001810 | | Simulation of the state of the | Burbank School Env Kemediation Work | Hayward Downlown | 6,504.54 | 6,504.54 | | | | | | , |
| 3 | 0 | TRC | Burbank School Env Remediation Work | Hayward Downtown | 20.000 00 | 15,626.87 | | | | | | |
| 8/5/2011 | 1 5/9/2013 | S TRC | Residual Burbank Site - Removal Action Work | Hayward Downtown | 328,610.00 | 328,610.00 | | | | 255 728 | | 364 736 |
| N/A | A NIA | Agency) | Finalize regession and execution of Purchase and Fale Agreement - staff project mgmt extellegal fees | Hayward Downtown | 36,863.00 | 36,863.00 | | | | 18 710 | | 07/11/2 |
| N/A | Z | City of Hay and (Successor Agency) | Staff project mgmt costs; legal fees; property mgmt costs; appraisal costs; other associated cucits for property dispurition | Hamand Downstan | 00000 | | | | | 76.50 | | 18,402 |
| 25/2009 | | | Env Remediation - Cinema Place | Ha sard Downtwa | 105,300,00 | 128,580,00 | | | | 64.230 | | 64,290 |
| 7/1/201 | N/A | Keyser Marston | Financial Analysis | Hayward Downtown | 20.000.00 | 7,720.83 | | 51.813 | | | 1 | 51.813 |
| 2012 | Опсе топ | | Waler lesting at Cinema Place - monitoring of site | Hay and Downtown | 4,999 94 | 4,998.94 | | | | | | |
| 2 | N/A | TBD | Audit required by AB1484 | Hay and Downtown | 15,000,00 | 15,000.00 | | | | | | |
| NA A | N.A | 180 | Per Oversight Board request, funds to pay for outside legal counsel | Hayward Downtown | 90.000.00 | 50,000 00 | | | | 30.000 | | 000 01 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 20,000 |

| | | | | | | | Total | | | | | Funding Source | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|--|--|-------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| lem # Pr | Item # Project Name / Debt Obligation | Contract/Agreement Execution Date | Contract/Agreement Termination Date | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Outstanding Debt or Obligation | Total Due During Fiscal Year 2012-13 | LMIHF | Bond F Proceeds E | Reserve Balance | Admin | RPTTF | Other | Six-Month Total |
| | | | | | 5- | | Varies every year depending on actual | | | | | | | | |
| S2 Re | Cinema Place Maintenance Expense 52 Repayment | 7/11/2007 | Until 2042 | Blake Huni Ventures | Reimbursement of overpaid funds on deposit for annual mainlenance avoience to holder of Channe Diagonal League | 1 | maintenance expenses - cannol estimale total | | | | | | | | |
| Ō e | Inema Place Maintenance Reserve FY12 | | | City of Hayward (Successor | | nayward Downton | amount | 6,300,00 | | | | | | | |
| - Ayment | aymeni | 7/11/2007 | Until 2042 | Agency | - | Hayward Downtown | 92,132 00 | 2,972.00 | | | | | 5 | | |
| Cinema P 54 Payment | Cinema Place Maintenance Reserve FY13 Payment | 7/11/2007 | Until 2042 | City of Hapmard (Successor Agency) | Per requirements of Ground Lease, ennual payment into capital Instruction of Communication | Hanney | 00 000 | 00000 | | | | | | | |

.

•

Name of Successor Agency: Hayward County:

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS III) -- Notes (Optional)
January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013

| | Item # | Item # Notes/Comments |
|----|--------|--|
| | | |
| | | Summary Page Comment: The total obligation amount may be understated as some items vary in cost each year and cannot be accurately estimated. The ROPS tab identifies these items. |
| | . 4 | Summary Page Comment 2. The approved obligations for the January - June 2012 greatly exceeded the amount of cash distributed by the County Auditor-Controller. |
| | | However, due to cash flow concerns, the Successor Agency did not fund all of the required obligations for this period. These obligations are still due and payable and have been reflected |
| | | on the July - December 2012 ROPS and into the future. |
| | 0 | Prior Period Payment Page: It is possible not all funds approved on the First or Second ROPS will actually be spent during the period ending December 31, 2012, due to potential delays in |
| | | project approval, contracting or implementation and may actually be carried forward and spent during some of all of the months of this third ROPS period. However, total payments |
| | | will not exceed total debt or obligations as of 07/01/2011 unless otherwise increased or added in subsequent ROPS. |
| | ROPS | |
| | #23-5 | #23-28 These are annual maintenance costs/contracts required by the Ground Lease Agreement for the Cinema Place project, which was executed 7/11/2007 |
| | ROPS | |
| _1 | #2: | #29 Pending claims to be resolved |
| | ROPS | |
| | #3083 | #308.37 Per Oversight Board approval on August 23, 2012, contracts to be combined and extended to complete remediation work. |
| | ROPS | |
| _ | #4 | #40 Contract to be extended to complete required groundwater remediation work. |
| | | |

Name of Successor Agency: County:

Hayward Alameda Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34186 (a)
PRIOR PERIOD ESTIMATED OBLIGATIONS vs. ACTUAL PAYMENTS
RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS I)
January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

| _ | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | | _ | - | - | _ | _ | _ | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Jeľ. | , to de | Actual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ð | i i | Estimate . | | | | | | | | × | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vote 3) | Actio | S 30c7709 | 2,414,666 | 359,633 | | 1.000 | | | | 8,267 | 54 850 | 000,40 | | | | 27,021 | | 20.296 | | 34.001 | 4,583 | 37,500 | 7,500 | | 32,652 | 2,517 | 2 340 | 9,816 | 274 | | 0.7 | 140 | | | | 8,606 | 21,774 | 5.00.3 | 5,076 | | 1 | 76/ |
| RPTTF | Fetimate | 5 52.421.873 | 2,414,655 | 379 633 | 1,292,172 | 4.788 | 4668 | | | 24.463 | 61 369 | | 49,175 | 656,235 | 177,227 | 170.72 | | 106,825 | 816 | 34.001 | 4,583 | 37.500 | 7.500 | | 32,080 | 6,207 | 4,080 | 12,250 | 250 | 6,505 | 15 - 27 | 000'6 | 000,4 | 3,580 | 72,883 | 26.063 | 108.930 | 106.494 | 7.721 | 10.108 | 0000 | 2,142 |
| омапсе | Actual | \$ 146,914 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 146,914 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Admin All | Estimate | 5 397.329 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 397.329 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| alance | Actual | 217.222 | | | | | | 200 | 777 117 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reserve B | Estimate | 1,108,000 | | 1 | | | | 400 000 | 0.000 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| spaan | Actual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bond Pro | Estimate | Ī | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L. | Actual | 1,944,298 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LMIH | Estimate | 40,65,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Project Area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Description/Project Scope | | Bond issue to fund non-nousing projects | Loan for SERAF FY10 and FY11 payments | | One-on-one restaurant consulting/retail attraction Develop facade improvement design for two | blocks on Footbill Blvd. | Matching loan funds for property owners along F - thill Blvd for façade imp _rement prog_um | Project Delivery Costs to Implement Footbill | Façade Loan Project | Payrell for RDA employees January 2012 only) | Leave balance payoffs/liability fund deposit for employee leave costs | Liability Fund deposit for Agency employee | Liability Fund deposit for Agenty employee | Liability Insurance | Consultant to prepare new form-based code for South Hayward BARTMission Bivd. Area | Consultant to prepare specific plan for Miliston Blvd corridor | Consultant to prepare Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for South Hayward Form Based Code | Payment for Administrative cersices (payroll, | Financial assistance to Downtown Business | Support to local non-profit organizations | Financial support for public art/graffili abatement program in RDA project area | Per ABx1 25, to cover admininshative costs of Successor America | Security Patrol Services for Cinema Place garage | Alarm Service for Cinema Place garage | Cinema Place Elevator | Cinema Place Garage Sweeping | Cinema Place Garage Utilibes | Officering I sake trade Offices | Burbank School Eriv Remediation Work Burbank School Eriv Remediation Work | Cinema Place Mural | Engineering Services - deconstruct Centennial Hall | Environmental Services - 22292 Foothill Blvd | Residual Burbank Site - Removal Action Work | Finalize negotiation and execution of Purchaso and Sale Agreement - staff project mgmt costs and legal costs | Staff project ringmt costs; legal fees, property ingmt costs, appraisal costs, other associated for proceed disposition. | Env Remediation - Cinema Place | Access Study - South Hayward BART TOD | Consultant to present with condition of Communication | acilities District #2 - Cannery Area | reater testing at Cinema Place - monitoring of site | To fund public improvements in the RDA |
| | Payee | Marie C | Wells Faron | Hayward Housing Authority | | rive out restaurant | SZFM Design Studio Inc | Musple Property Owners | | Successor Agency | Employed of Agency | Employees of Agents & Liability Fund | | | City of Hayward | Hall Alminana, Inc | Hall Alminana, Inc | | | | | | | | rices | | gomery Sweeping Serace | of Havward | 1 | | | FBA, Inc | ACC Environmental Consultants | TRC | City of Hayward Successor Agency) | symand (Successor | | | | Goodwin Consulting Group | | Color and Liberts and |
| | Page/Form Line Project Name / Debt Obligation | 1 % 1 2004 Tay Allocation Bonds | 1.00 2 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds | 4 | ď | Summeror unimpress to the same | Contract for Foothill Façade Pregram | 1.50 8 Footall Fagade Loans | | 8a | o | .00 10 Employee Leave Lushility | 11 | 1.00 12 OPEB Liabitity | (13 Agency insurance costs | Contract for South Hayward Form Based 15 Code | | 1.00 17 Contract for Form Based Code EIR | 18 Cost Allocation | 19 BIA Summer | 20 | 21 Public Art | 22 Si essor Agency Admin Allowance | 23 Contract for Security Services | 24 Contract for Security Alarm | 25 Contract for Elevator Maint and Repair | 26 Contract for Sweeping | 28 Utilities | 29 Contract for Env Remediation Work | 30 Contract for Env Remediation Work | 31 Contract for Mural | 32 Contract for Eng Services | 36 Contract for Env Remediation | 37 Contract for Env Remediation | Project Deliver Costs - Burbank 38 Recedual Site | Property Disposition Costs - former 39 Agency-held properties | 40 Contract for Env Remediation | 41 Contract for Access Study | and the second s | 43 Contact for CFD #2 | | 2.60 47 subscreements below |
| | LMIHF Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Admin Allowance RPTTF (Note 3) Other | Line Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Actual Estimate Ac | Line Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Actual Estimate Actual Estimate | Line Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Area Estimate Actual Estimate | Line Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Acade Estimate Actual Actual | Limit Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Area Estimate Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Actual Estimate Actual | Limit Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Atea Estimate Actual Actual | Limit Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Atea Estimate Dend Proceeds Reserve Balance Admin Allovance Admin Allovance | Limit | Limit Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description/Project Scope Project Name / Debt Name / Description/Project Scope Project Name / Debt | Limit Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Scope Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Debt Obligation Payee Debt Obligation Payee Debt Obligation Payee Payee | Limit Project Name / Description Project Scope Project Actual Estimate Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Actual Estimate Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Actual Estimate Bond Reserve Balance Actual Estimate Bond Reserve Balance Actual Estimate Bond Reserve Balance Reserve Balance Reserve Balance Actual Reserve Balance Reserve Bala | Line Project Name Description Project Scope Project Name Proj | Light Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee Description Payee Payee | Project Name / Debt Objection Project Name / Debt Object Name / Debt Ob | Popper Name / Debt Obligation Popper Poppe | Project Name Description Description | Propertion Pro | Payment Debt Debt | District Name District Nam | Paper Pape | Direct Name Dispute Dispute | Patient Name Detail Name | December December | December Payor P | Participation Participatio | Part Part | Part Part | Part Part | | Designation Property Designation Des | Designation (Designation (Des | Participation (2004) Participation (2004) | Designation Designation | Particular Par | Part Part | Particularies Particularie | | Particularization Part | Particular Par | Particular Par | Designation of the part of t |

| | | | LMIHF | ĮĮ. | Bond Proceeds | speeds | Reserve Balance | Balance | Admin A | Admin Allowance | RPTTF (Note 3) | Note 3) | ō | Olher |
|--|--|--------------|------------|---------|---------------|--------|-----------------|---------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------|----------|--------|
| Page/Form Line Project Name / Debt Obligation Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Estimate | Actual | Estimate | Actual | Fetimate | Achia | of confidence of the confidenc | Actual | i | | | 1 |
| 2 0 47a Improvements (one-time) | | | | | | | -samara | Brian | Esumate | Acmai | Estimate | Actual | Estimate | Actual |
| 2.00 47b Center Campus coedime | | | | | | | | | | | 12.700,000 | | | |
| Reevaluate and update Downtown 2.00 47c Plan (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | 4.500,000 | | | |
| Complete Downtown Gateways | | | | | | | | | | | 200,000 | | | |
| 47.0 | | | | | | | | | | | 200,000 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 5.500,000 | | | |
| 470 Revisition Program (one-time) 470 Revisition Programs (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | 2.500,000 | | | |
| A7h Ste cone-lime) | | | | | | | | | | auro Fi | 250,000 | | | |
| Cinema Place Garage Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | 250 000 | | | |
| Business Improvement District | | | | | | | | | | | 65 600 | | | |
| 47) Funding (annual) Management of Agency Owned | | | | | | | | | | | 65,000 | | | |
| 47k Properties (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | 000 00 | | | |
| 47th Public Art (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | 75.000 | | | |
| Ongoing env monitoring at Burbank | | | | | | | | | | | 000'06 | | | |
| 47n School (annual) Cooperative Agreement (see | To find affordable housings and estimates | | | | | | | | | | 7.000 | | | |
| 48 subagreements below) Hayward Housing Authority | Ŭ | | 39,663,000 | 944.298 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45a B&Grand Senior Housing (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48b (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| South Hayward BART Senior and 48c Family Housing (=ne-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48d Leidig Court (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Habitat In Humanity Ownership 48e Development (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchase, Rehab, and Sale of 48f Foreclosed Homes (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 237 Units Promised under 238 48a Settlement Agreement (one-time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rehab of Existing Rental Housing 48h Developments (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First-time Homebuyer Program 48i (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Independence (Renta) Assistance to Emancipated Youth) 481. (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing Rehab Loan and Minor 48k Home Repair Programs (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maniforming and Enforcement for existing affordable housing purets 481 and programs (annual) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First-time Homeburger Program for 49 238 Settlement Agreement Various | Promition of Down Payment Assistance to former 233 tenants per Rt 238 Settlement Agreement | | 000 | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | • | | | • | | | | |

.